(2021) 6:11

Somsap et al. BMC Zoology

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Microanatomy of the female reproductive system of the viviparous freshwater whipray *Fluvitrygon signifer* (Chondrichthyes: Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae). II. The genital duct



Nittaya Somsap, Nopparat Srakaew^{*} o and Kannika Chatchavalvanich

Abstract

Background: Fundamental knowledge on microscopic structures of the whole female chondrichthyan genital ducts from a single species remains unavailable. The present study describes microanatomy of the entire female genital duct (anterior oviduct, oviducal gland, uterus and vagina) of the freshwater dasyatid *Fluvitrygon signifer*.

Results: The females have only the left genital duct. The genital ducts reveal histological variation among individuals in terms of tissue organization, histochemical profiles and secretory activities. The anterior oviducts of mature females possess branched mucosal folds and exhibit dynamic relationship between production and secretion of secretory substances, while those of immature/regenerating females have short, unbranched mucosal folds and inactive secretory activities. The oviducal gland comprises glandular tubules, which show histological and histochemical heterogeneity and, thus, can be classified into three types. The uterus is categorized into five patterns principally based on histological features of the trophonematal and uterine mucosa. The vagina displays different histochemical reactions, likely reflecting various degrees of glycosylation of secretory granules.

Conclusions: The genital ducts of the females of *F. signifer* show differential microscopic and histochemical characteristics, indicating their different reproductive statuses.

Keywords: White-edge freshwater whipray, Chondrichthyes, Microanatomy, Histochemistry, Genital duct, Reproduction

Background

Like other vertebrates, the female reproductive system of the Chondrichthyes generally comprises the ovaries and the genital ducts. The latter are further subdivided into distinct functional regions, namely the ostium, anterior oviduct, oviducal gland, isthmus and uterus [1-3]. In some species, the posterior region of the paired uteri

* Correspondence: fscinrsr@ku.ac.th

Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand



fuse to become a common vagina and the posterior part of the uterus transforms into a constricted, muscularized sphincter, called the uterine cervix [1, 4, 5]. However, terminology for the reproductive segment posterior to the uterus has been adopted inconsistently and interchangeably by serveral investigators, as the cloaca [2-4, 6-13], the urogenital sinus [1, 12, 14-16] and the vagina [17-24]. Herein, this region is referred to as the vagina. In general, the chondrichthyan female genital ducts play multiple roles in collecting, transporting and storing

© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

gametes, forming the egg coverings, supplying nutrients and oxygen to embryos, removing waste products from these latter ones in case of viviparous species, providing protective milieu for fertilization and embryonic development, serving as a passageway for delivering offspring to the exterior and accommodating the male intromittent organ during copulation [4, 25].

The white-edge freshwater whipray Fluvitrygon signifer (Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae) is a reclassified taxon of Himantura signifer Compagno and Roberts, 1982 based on a recent study [26]. It is rare and threatened according to IUCN [27]. This dasyatid stingray mainly inhabits the freshwater ecosystems of the Indo-Malay Archipelago, with their first record in the Kapuas (Kalimantan, Indonesia) and subsequently in Indragiri river (Riau, Sumatra, Indonesia), the Perak river (western Peninsular Malaysia), Chao Phraya river (Thailand) [28], and Musi river basin and Musi river drainage, South Sumatra (Indonesia) [29]. The young are born with their disc width of 11-12 cm, while males and females reach sexual maturity at their disc widths of $\sim 21-23$ and 25-26 cm, respectively [30, 31]. Like other myliobatiforms, F. signifer is matrotrophic viviparous, with the uterine embryos gaining nutrients from lipid- and proteinrich histotroph secreted from villus-like uterine appendages, called trophonemata [28, 32, 33].

Insight into the overall process of reproduction of F. signifer will contribute to the first fundamental step to improved knowledge on its reproductive biology that could be useful in the future to better understand the reproductive cycle, leading to developing effective management and conservation strategies for protection of this species. One essential aspect of their reproductive biology integral to reproductive functions is microanatomy of the reproductive system. The microanatomical structures of the ovary of F. signifer has been recently described [34], but those of the female genital ducts have not been reported. As far as is known, basic knowledge on the microscopic structures of the myliobatiform female genital ducts has been gathered from several studies of various species belonging to different families, including three marine urotrygonids [15, 35-38], one marine gymnurid [39], one marine myliobatid [40], seven freshwater potamotrygonids [41, 42], one marine rhinopterid [43] and four marine dasyatids [6, 17, 35, 44, 45]. However, detailed histological and histochemical descriptions of the entire genital ducts and information on body morphometrics related to maturity statuses from a single species are still not available. This study aimed to investigate the microanatomy of the genital ducts of the freshwater dasyatid, *Fluvitry*gon signifer.

Results

General structures

Body morphometrics and maturity scale of *Fluvitrygon signifer* are shown in Table 1. The females have only the left genital duct that is divided into four regions from the anterior to the posterior direction: the anterior oviduct, the oviducal gland, the uterus and the vagina. The genital duct is constituted by three concentric tissue layers from the inside to the outside: mucosa, muscularis and adventitia.

Microscopic structures of the genital ducts Anterior oviduct

The anterior portion of the oviduct is a fringed funnel surrounding an ostium. This fimbriated tissue has the ovarian and peritoneal surfaces, with the former facing the ovary and having long mucosal folds, while the latter opposing the peritoneal cavity and possessing short mucosal folds (Fig. 1a). The fimbria is lined by a pseudos-tratified columnar epithelium, consisting of three cell types: secretory columnar cells, basal cells and leukocytes (Fig. 1b, c). The secretory cell cytoplasm has affinity for PAS and to a lesser extent AB pH 2.5 in pentachrome staining, suggesting accumulation of neutral and carboxylated acid glycoconjugates, respectively (Fig. 1b, c). The core of the fimbria comprises mainly dense irregular connective tissues that are extensively vascularized (Fig. 1a).

The anterior oviduct contains branched mucosal folds (Fig. 1d-j). The mucosa is composed of a pseudostratified ciliated columnar epithelium and a lamina propria (Fig. 1d, m, n). Four epithelial cell types are identifiable: ciliated cells, columnar secretory cells, basal cells and leukocytes (Fig. 1k-n). Ciliated cells bear central, ovoid nuclei and apical cilia (Fig. 1k-n). Columnar secretory cells have a pyriform-like shape, basally-located ovoid nuclei, and neutral and carboxylated acid mucopolysaccharides in the cytoplasm, as demonstrated by PAS and AB pH 2.5 in pentachrome staining, respectively (Fig. 1k-n), but not sulfated acid mucopolysaccharides based on AB pH 1.0 histochemistry. PAS reactivity of the oviduct tissues is not inhibited upon pretreatment of the tissue sections with diastase, as compared to those without enzymatic treatment (data not shown). Basal cells have irregular nuclei and are located at the basal region of the epithelium, while leukocytes are surrounded by pericellular spaces and scattered among other epithelial cells (Fig. 1k-n). The lamina propria is made of loose collagenous connective tissues (Fig. 1d, m, n). The muscularis is a fibromuscular layer, containing circularlyoriented smooth muscle cells distributed among dense collagenous tissues (Fig. 1d). The adventitia is a vascularized loose connective tissue (Fig. 1d-j).

Table	1 Body	' morp	hometrics	and	ovarian	microscopi	СС	descriptions	of	Fluvitrygon	signif	er
-------	---------------	--------	-----------	-----	---------	------------	----	--------------	----	-------------	--------	----

Animals	Date of collection	Body weight (g)	Ovary weight (g)	Disc width (cm)	Disc length (cm)	Previtellogenic follicle	Vitellogenic follicle	Postovulatory follicle	Maturity scale*
F1	02/25/99	864	1.760	31.0	28.0	Present	Absent	Absent	Regenerating
F2	04/26/99	870	2.080	30.0	28.2	Present	Present	Present	Mature
F3	06/29/99	722	2.282	28.0	26.0	Present	Present	Present	Mature
F4	09/22/99	841	2.493	31.0	29.0	Present	Present	Present	Mature
F5	11/30/99	1270	6.383	35.0	33.5	Present	Present	Present	Mature
F6	02/03/00	220	0.643	20.0	17.5	Present	Absent	Absent	Immature
F7	02/14/00	210	0.649	19.5	18.0	Present	Absent	Absent	Immature
F8	10/18/00	665	5.534	28.0	26.5	Present	Present	Present	Mature

*Assessment for maturity scale is based on disc width [30, 31] and ovarian microscopic examination [34, 46]

The anterior oviduct reveals histological variation among specimens. The oviducts of F1, F6 and F7 females have thin wall, short mucosal folds and inactive secretory activities (Fig. 1e). In F2 specimen, the lamina propria and the adventitia are highly vascularized (Fig. 1d, f). The oviducts of F3, F4 and F5 females carry luminal secretion (Fig. 1g-i), which reacts to PAS (Fig. 1g) and AB pH 2.5 in pentachrome staining (Fig. 1i), confirming a mixture of neutral and carboxylated acid glycoproteins/mucopolysaccharides. The mucosal folds of F3 female are long and stout (Fig. 1g) whereas those of F4 and F5 females are branched and slender (Fig. 1h, i). The folds are numerous and highly branched in F8 female (Fig. 1j). In F2 and F8 females, glycoconjugate materials tend to be accumulated in the secretory cell cytoplasm (Fig. 1k, l) with little luminal secretion (Fig. 1d, f, j), while those of F3, F4 and F5 specimens are mainly secreted into the lumen (Fig. 1g-i) with less storage in the secretory cell cytoplasm (Fig. 1m, n), implying dynamic statuses between accumulation and secretion of secretory materials. Sulfated glycoconjugate materials are not detectable in these cells upon AB pH1.0 staining.

Oviducal gland

The oviducal glands of immature females are a straight tube without glandular tubules (Fig. 2a). In mature females, the mucosa is the thickest of the oviducal gland proper (Fig. 2b, c). This layer houses multiple simple straight/branched glandular tubules structural as secretory units that span the entire thickness of the mucosa and are organized in a centripetal manner with respect to a central duct (Fig. 2b). Some glandular tubules extend into the muscularis (Fig. 2b). The central duct is lined by a pseudostratified columnar epithelium, composed of four cell types: secretory cells, ciliated cells, basal cells and leukocytes (Fig. 2d). Secretory cells have ovoid nuclei and their supranuclear cytoplasm is reactive to PAS (Fig. 2d) and AB pH 2.5 in pentachrome histochemistry (data not shown). Underneath the ductal and tubular epithelia is the lamina propria, constituted by loose connective tissues (Figs. 2d, 3a). The muscularis contains smooth muscle fibers lying in a circular disposition and interspersed with collagenous connective tissues (Fig. 2c). The adventitia is a vascularized loose connective tissue (Fig. 2a-c).

The glandular tubules are lined by a pseudostratified columnar epithelium comprising secretory columnar cells, ciliated cells, basal cells and leukocytes (Fig. 2e, 3, 4, and 5). Secretory cells possess basal, ovoid-tospherical nuclei and supranuclear granules (Figs. 2e, 3, 4, and 5) that contain proteins (Fig. 2e), neutral glycan moieties (Figs. 3a, b, 4a, b, 5a, b) and carboxylated acid glycan moieties (Figs. 3c, d, 4c, d, 5c). Ciliated cells have oval nuclei located at higher levels than those of the secretory cells (Figs. 3a, b, e, f, 4a, b, e, f, 5). Basal cells with irregular nuclei are detectable at the epithelial basal compartment (Fig. 5a, d). Leukocytes characterized by pericellular spaces are scattered among other epithelial cell types (Fig. 5d). The oviducal glands display histological and histochemical heterogeneity within and among individual tubules (Figs. 2b, 3, 4, and 5), possibly reflecting different secretory statuses of the tubules.

Herein, each glandular tubule is divided into three approximate regions along its entire length from the adluminal to the basal aspects, viz. upper quarter, middle half and lower quarter regions. Notably, there exist three histological and histochemical patterns of the glandular tubules (types I-III) in the specimens (Figs. 3, 4, and 5). The upper quarter region of type I and II glandular tubules has short secretory cells with secretory granules (Fig. 3a, c, e) whereas the middle half region of the tubules carries numerous granules occupying the secretory cell cytoplasm, with fewer granules secreted into the lumen (Fig. 4a, c, e). In contrast, secretory cells of the upper region of type III glandular tubules are taller and store more cytoplasmic granules than those of type I and II tubules (Figs. 3b, d, f versus a, c, e). In the middle



half region of type III glandular tubules, a number of granules engorge the secretory cell cytoplasm, causing cell expansion and difficulty in defining cell boundary, and are released into the lumen, indicating active tubular secretion (Fig. 4b, d, f). Some secretory cells nuclei are condensed and shed into the tubular lumen (Fig. 4b, f). Further, it is noted that staining patterns of the granules in the upper quarter and middle half regions from consecutive histological sections are divided into two groups, following AB pH 2.5 and pentachrome histochemistry. In the first group, as seen in type I and II glandular tubules, the granules show moderate to strong reactions with AB (Figs. 3c, 4c) and reveal different colorations upon pentachrome staining, i.e. blue, reddish brown and brown colors (Figs. 3e, 4e). In the second group, as observable in type III glandular tubules, the granules are faintly stained with AB pH 2.5 (Figs. 3d, 4d) and become black after pentachrome staining (Figs. 3f, 4f). In the lower quarter region of the glandular tubules, the granules in the secretory cells of type I glandular tubules show intense magenta staining with PAS (Fig. 5a) and are strongly alcianophilic upon both a monochrome



mucosa. Stains: **a** = H&E; **b**, **c** = modified Movat's pentachrome; **d** = PAS-H; **e** = bromophenol blue

AB pH 2.5 (data not shown) and AB pH 2.5 in pentachrome staining (Fig. 5c). In contrast, the granules in the secretory cells at the lower quarter region of type II and III tubules are moderately reactive to PAS (Fig. 5b) and stained red, following pentachrome histochemistry (Fig. 5d). The histological and histochemical patterns of the glandular tubules vary among specimens, with type I and II glandular tubules present only in F5 female and type III tubules found in F2, F3, F4, F5 and F8 females. Pretreatment of the oviducal gland sections with diastase does not affect to affinity of the granules for PAS (data not shown). Moreover, the secretory granules in all specimens are not reactive to AB pH 1.0.

Uterus

The uterus is a muscular tube (Figs. 6, 7, 8, and 9). The mucosa comprises a pseudostratified columnar epithelium and a lamina propria (Figs. 6c, 8b, 9b). The uterine epithelium consists of three cell types, i.e., columnar cells, basal cells and leukocytes (Figs. 6c, 8b, 9b). The mucosa also extends into the uterine lumen and becomes trophonemata (Figs. 6a, b, 7a, 8a, 9a). A central vessel in the trophonematal core ramifies into vascular plexuses and courses along the trophonematal margin, thus giving off peripheral arterioles and capillaries underneath the trophonematal epithelium (Figs. 8c, d, 9c). The muscularis comprises two orthogonal muscular



sheets disposed into the inner circular and outer longitudinal muscular tunics (Figs. 6b, 7a, c, 8a, 9a). Autonomic ganglia are intercalated between the two musculatures (Fig. 7c). The adventitia is a vascularized loose connective tissue (Figs. 6a, 7a, 8a, 9a). Scattered bundles of circular and longitudinal muscles are also observable in the adventitia (Figs. 7a, 8a).

The uterus exhibits histological variation among collected specimens with five histological patterns. The first pattern shows a thin uterine wall with short trophonemata, as seen in immature F6 and F7 females (Fig. 6a). In the second pattern, the trophonemata are longer than those of the immature females and have a smooth surface of the epithelium overlying subepithelial arterioles, as found in F1 female (Fig. 6b, c). The trophonematal and uterine columnar epithelial cells have long dropshaped nuclei and are coated with glycocalyces containing neutral glycans (Fig. 6c) and carboxylated acid glycans (data not shown). The third histological pattern of the uterus is found in F3 and F8 females (Fig. 7). The muscularis is much thicker than that of the earlier two patterns (Figs. 7a versus 6a, b). The trophonemata have a corrugated contour due to reorganization of the epithelial cells into shallow crypts (Fig. 7b). In the fourth pattern, as observed in F2 female, the trophonematal and uterine epithelia consist of low columnar cells with spherical-to-ovoid nuclei (Fig. 8b-d). The trophonemata is bordered by heterogeneous types of epithelia, i.e., pseudostratified columnar, simple cuboidal and simple squamous epithelia (Fig. 8c, d). Notably, numerous blood vessels are immediately subjacent to both epithelia (Fig. 8b, d). The trophonemata are thicker than those of the earlier patterns due to increased vascularization and cellularity in the trophonematal core (Figs. 8a, c, d



versus 6, 7a). The uterine muscularis and adventitia have increased amount of loose connective tissues with dilated blood vessels and interstitial spaces (Fig. 8a). In the fifth pattern, the uterine mucosa has similar histological structures to those of F2 female (Fig. 9a, b), but the trophonematal epithelium overlying peripheral arterioles and capillaries becomes flattened, as seen in F4 and F5 specimens (Fig. 9c). The posterior region of the uterus is devoid of trophonemata (Fig. 10a).

Vagina

The vagina is a fibromuscular structure (Fig. 10a, c). The uterovaginal junction reveals abrupt histological mucosal transition from the uterine pseudostratified columnar epithelium to the vaginal stratified epithelium (Fig. 10b). The epithelia at the anterior region of the vagina are of two types: a stratified squamous epithelium (Fig. 10d, e),

as seen in F1, F6 and F7 females, and a transitional-like epithelium (Figs. 11, 12a, b), as found in F2, F3, F4, F5 and F8 females. The lamina propria is a loose connective tissue mixed with smooth muscle bundles (Fig. 10c). The posterior region of the vagina is lined by a transitional-like epithelium (Fig. 12e, f). Shedding of superficial epithelial cells is common among specimens (Figs. 11, 12a, b), with some desquamated cell nuclei becoming condensed (Fig. 12a, b). The epithelium invaginates into the lamina propria to become epithelial nodules flanked by connective tissue papillae (Fig. 10c). Mucosal folds are also observable (Fig. 10a). The muscularis is made of scattered bundles of the circular smooth muscles interspersed with loose connective tissues and the adventitia comprises mainly loose connective tissues with few bundles of the smooth muscles (Fig. 10c).



The epithelial cells at the anterior region of the vagina are loaded with heterogeneously-sized secretory granules that strongly react to PAS (Figs. 10d, 11a, c, e, 12a), but show negative reactivity with AB pH 1.0, indicating the presence of neutral glycans, but the absence of sulfated acid glycans, respectively. Much fewer granules are found in F1, F6 and F7 females than those in the other specimens (Figs. 10d, e versus 11, 12a-d). It is noted that PAS reactivity of these granules is not suppressed following pretreatment of the vaginal tissue sections with diastase in all specimens, confirming that the granules are not composed of glycogens (data not shown). Upon pentachrome staining, the granules are divided into two populations: blue and orange-red populations (Figs. 10e, 11b, d, f, 12b). The former is attributed to positive reaction with AB pH 2.5 in pentachrome staining, indicating existence of carboxylated acid glycans, while the latter are likely due to combined reactions with crocein scarlet-acid Fuchsin solution in pentachrome staining, suggesting the presence of other types of unknown chemical compositions. Besides, proportion of quantities between the two populations varies independently among specimens (Figs. 10e, 11b, d, f, 12b). In F1, F6 and F7 females, only small orange-red granules are found in few epithelial cells (Fig. 10e). Blue granules are more than orange-red granules, as seen in F2, F3 and F5 females (Figs. 11b, d, 12b), and the reverse is true for F4 female (Fig. 11f). However, the secretory granules are weakly reactive to bromophenol blue, indicating small quantities of proteins in the granules (Fig. 12c). Moreover, these granules display three staining patterns based on toluidine blue histochemistry, i.e., pale blue, blue and magenta colors, suggesting different levels of metachromasia possibly caused by dissimilar anionic chemical constituents among the granules (Fig. 12d). Four epithelial cell types (non-secretory cells, mucous cells, basal cells and leukocytes) are detectable at the posterior region of the vagina (Fig. 12e, f). Mucous cells accumulate neutral and carboxylated acid glycans, as demonstrated by their affinity for PAS and AB pH 2.5 in pentachrome staining (Fig. 12e, f), respectively, but not for sulfated acid glycans, as determined by their negative reaction with AB pH 1.0.

Discussion

The female genital duct of *Fluvitrygon signifer* is subdivided into four functional regions, viz., the anterior oviduct, oviducal gland, uterus and vagina, like other chondrichthyans [4, 7, 36]. Microanatomy of the chondrichthyan oviduct displays taxon-specific variation that is not correlated with taxonomic classification, with the oviductal epithelia categorized into three types: (1) a simple ciliated columnar epithelium, as in the ratfish, *Hydrolagus colliei* [20]; the guitar fish, *Rhinobatos*



lentiginosus [47] and R. percellens [35]; the rays, Gymnura poecilura [39] and Pateobatis bleekeri (formerly Dasyatis bleekeri) [17]; the skate, Sympterygia acuta [48]; and the sharks, *Iago omanensis* [49], *Mustelus gri*seus, Mustelus manazo [50] and P. glauca [51]; (2) a stratified ciliated cuboidal epithelium in the dogfish, Squalus acanthias [52]; and (3) a pseudostratified ciliated columnar epithelium in the shark, Cetorhinus maximus [19]; the rays, Hypanus guttatus (formerly Dasyatis guttata), Narcine bancroftii, Urotrygon venezuelae [35], Hypanus sabinus (formerly Dasyatis sabina) [45], P. magdalenae [42] and F. signifer in the present study. Further, the lining structure of the F. signifer ostium is a pseudostratified ciliated epithelium, unlike other chondrichthyans in which the ostium is bordered by simple or stratified ciliated columnar epithelia [1, 50]. It is noted that the oviductal epithelium of F. signifer produces and secretes neutral and acidic glycoproteins/ mucopolysaccharides, similar to those in other chondrichthyans [17, 39, 42, 48, 49, 53]. These secretory materials may function in nurturing gametes and embryos and lubricating the oviductal lumen, thus possibly facilitating smooth passage of ovulated eggs towards the oviducal gland [52]. Additionally, the ciliary activity of the ciliated cells may be involved in transporting gametes and wafting secretory materials in the luminal milieu. Branching of the oviductal folds in *F. signifier*, similar to *C. maximus* [19], may increase surface areas of the oviductal mucosa for secretion and absorption.

Among chondrichthyans, the oviductal muscularis is constituted by different patterns of muscular placement, which vary interspecifically and can be categorized into six patterns: (1) the circular muscle scattered among collagenous tissues, as described in P. magdalenae [43], Scyliorhinus canicula (formerly Scyllium canicula) [54] and F. signifer; (2) the longitudinal muscle in S. acuta [48]; (3) both inner circular and outer longitudinal muscles, such as I. omanensis [49], P. bleekeri [17] and S. acanthias [52, 55]; (4) the inner circular, middle oblique and outer longitudinal muscle fibers, as in *H. colliei* [20]; (5) the irregularly-oriented muscle, as seen in *M. griseus* and *M. manazo* [50]; and (6) the absence of musculature, such as G. poecilura [39] and R. lentiginosus [47]. The outermost oviductal layer of F. signifer is the adventitia similar to other chondrichthyans [17, 42], while the serosa is the outermost layer in M. griseus, M. manazo [50] and S. acanthias [52]. High vascularization of the oviduct may be related to increased demands of the organ for consumption of oxygen and nutrients to drive metabolic activities of the oviductal cells, such as muscular contraction, ciliary beating and exocytosis of secretory materials from the epithelial cells.



Fig. 7 Uterine microscopic structures of F3 and F8 females of *Fluvitrygon signifer*. **a** Uterine wall of F8 female. **b**, **c** Uterus of F3 female revealing trophonemata (Tm) with glandular crypts (Cr) (**b**) and uterine muscularis (**c**). Photomicrographs are specified with corresponding specimen codes. Abbreviations: Ad, adventitia; BC, basal cell; CM, circular smooth muscle; Co, columnar cell; Ga; ganglion cell; Lc, leukocyte; LM, longitudinal smooth muscle; LP, lamina propria; SM, smooth muscle; *, blood vessel. Stains: **a**, **b** = H&E; **c** = PAS–H



Fig. 8 Uterine histology of F2 female of *Fluvitrygon signifer*. The entire uterine wall (**a**), uterine mucosa (**b**) and trophonemata (Tm) (**c**, **d**). Specimen codes are used to indicate their corresponding photomicrographs. Abbreviations: Ad, adventitia; CM, circular smooth muscle; Co, columnar cell; Ep, epithelium; Lc, leukocyte; LM, longitudinal smooth muscle; LP, lamina propria; SM, smooth muscle; *, blood vessel. Stains: **a**, **d** = modified Movat's pentachrome; **b**, **c** = H&E



The oviducal glands of F. signifer are not divisible into distinctive histological regions, similar to other myliobatiform elasmobranchs, such as G. poecilura [39], P. magdalenae [42] and Urobatis jamaicensis (formerly Urolophus jamaicensis) [38], while other chondrichthyans have four distinct histological zones of the oviducal glands, namely club, papillary, baffle and terminal zones [38, 56-58]. The secretory units of the F. signifer oviducal glands are formed mainly by simple unbranched tubular glands and to a lesser extent simple branched tubular glands, as in Dipturus batis (synonym of Raja batis) [59], but only simple unbranched tubular glands in G. poecilura, L. erinacea, Mustelus canis, Raja eglanteria, S. canicula, Scyliorhinus stellaris, S. acanthias and U. jamaicensis [37–39, 60, 61]. Consistently, the tubular gland units of the chondrichthyan oviducal glands are lined by a columnar epithelium composed of ciliated and secretory cells [38]. In an active secretory status, the secretory cell nuclei are disposed to the basal compartment likely due accumulation of cytoplasmic granules, thus displacing the nuclei to the eccentric position, similar to other chondrichthyans [38, 62]. Additionally, the muscularis displays interspecific variation in the muscular organization that can be classified into three patterns: (1) the sole circular musculature in F. signifer, similar to Hemitriakis japonica (formerly Galeorhinus japonicus) [63], M. griseus, M. manazo [50] and *P. magdalenae* [42]; (2) the only longitudinal muscle, as in Etmopterus spinax [64], S. acuta [48] and S. bona*partii* [65]; and (3) no musculature in this layer, as seen in M. canis, R. eglanteria, S. acanthias and U. jamaicensis [60]. The presence of condensed nuclei in the secretory cells and the tubular gland lumina suggests that these cells undergo physiologic degeneration, rupture and subsequently discharge of their secretory granules and nuclei into the tubular lumina, probably indicating the mode of holocrine secretion besides the classical merocrine secretion of the secretory granules in the oviducal gland, as previously shown in *S. canicula* [66].

Non-uniformly spatial distribution of carbohydrates in the secretory granules within and among individual glandular tubules, as previously described [62], implies that the granules are not synchronously and homogeneously glycosylated, also pointing to their temporally dynamic production and secretion. Furthermore, differential glycoconjugate staining patterns of the granules among specimens may be related to reproductive statuses of specimens, as shown in S. canicula [67]. The oviducal glands of F. signifer do not contain glycogens and sulfated acid glycoproteins/mucopolysaccharides, unlike those of S. canicula showing zonal distribution of the two chemical groups [67]. Heterogeneous staining patterns of the granules upon AB pH 2.5 and pentachrome histochemistry are possibly due to altered stainability of the granules for the histologic dyes related to different levels of their glycosylation with carboxylated acid glycoconjugates.

The isthmus, which is a connecting segment between the oviducal gland and the uterus, has been shown in several chondrichthyan species [8, 10, 12, 18, 19, 68–72], but it is not found in *F. signifer*, similar to *G. poecilura* [39]. Three types of the uterine epithelia in adults have



posterior region of the uterus (Ut). **b** Abrupt histological transition of the mucosa from the uterine pseudostratified columnar epithelium (UE) to the vaginal stratified squamous/transitional-like epithelium (VE), as indicated by two opposing black triangles. **c** Vaginal wall with a mucosal fold and epithelium notch (EN). **d**, **e** Vaginal epithelia of F1. Specimen codes are used to specify their corresponding photomicrographs. Abbreviations: Ad, adventitia; CP: connective tissue papilla; Gr, granule; LP, lamina propria; MF, mucosal fold; Mu, mucosa; Mus, muscularis; SM, smooth muscle; *, blood vessel. Stains: **a**, **c**, **e** = modified Movat's pentachrome; **b**, **d** = PAS–H

been identified with interspecific variability: (1) a pseudostratified columnar epithelium, as seen in *S. acuta* and *S. bonapartii* [14] and *F. signifer*; (2) a simple columnar epithelium in *H. colliei* [20], *L. erinacea, Rhizoprionodon terraenovae* [73] and *Sphyrna tiburo* [74]; and (3) a mixture of simple squamous and simple cuboidal epithelia in *Carcharhinus plumbeus* [75]. An extensively ramified system of subepithelial capillaries and thinning of the superficial uterine epithelial cells are similar to those in

the uterine mucosa of *S. acanthias* during gestation [76]. These structures and their corresponding basement membrane have been proposed to establish "a pseudo-placental barrier" that serves as an interface and allows transport of diffusible materials between intraluminal and intramural compartments [76].

The presence of uterine trophonemata in *F. signifer* is typical of the suborder Myliobatoidei [15, 28, 32, 77]. Modification of the trophonematal microanatomy of *F.*



signifer is likely related to their reproductive statuses and, in gestational females of other species, the trophonemata display fetal-maternal respiratory and trophic relationships [44]. In the non-gestational stage, the epithelium forms a continuous homeomorphic vestment along the entire trophonematal mucosa, whereas during the gestational stage the epithelium undergoes invagination to become glandular crypts, which are separated from one another by subepithelial vascular beds, as previously described [44, 78]. It has been documented that the trophonemata of the females bearing newly fertilized eggs are bordered by a simple cuboidal epithelium [44, 77]. Numerous vascularized trophonemata increase the surface areas for respiratory exchange, while intimate association between the attenuated epithelium and subepithelial capillaries minimizes diffusion distances between the trophonematal and intraluminal compartments, thereby effectively facilitating gas exchange between the female and the intrauterine young [15, 44, 77]. The glandular crypts secrete histotroph or uterine milk to nourish the intrauterine pups [15, 33, 43, 44]. This secretory material is of a serous type, as in other stingrays [2]. In addition, the uterine fluid may play a role in mammal-like sperm capacitation by increasing sperm motility, as described in the stingray, *P. motoro* [79]. Histological changes in the trophonematal epithelium of *F. signifer* are similar to those in *P. violacea* [80].

The myometrium of the chondrichthyan uterus consists of combinations of the muscular organization that have been classified into six patterns: (1) the inner circular and outer longitudinal muscle fibers, as in the rays, *G. poecilura* [39], *P. aiereba*, *P. iwamae*, *P. motoro*, *P.orbignyi*, *P. schroederi*, *P. scobina*, *P. wallacei* [41], *P.*



bleekeri [6, 78], P. magdalenae [42], and F. signifier; the sharks, M. griseus, M. manazo [50] M. schmitti [69, 81] and Scoliodon laticaudus (formerly S. sorrakowah) [9]; the skates, S. acuta and Sympterygia bonapartii [14]; the ratfish, H. colliei [20] and the guitar fish, R. lentiginosus [47]; (2) the inner longitudinal and outer circular muscles, as described in the rays, A. nichofii [78], B. walga [82] and L. erinacea [73]; (3) three muscular tunics with a diagonal muscle layer as the outermost layer in the shark, I. omanensis [70]; (4) three muscular layers with the circular musculature intercalated between two layers of the longitudinal musculatures in R. terraenovae [83]; (5) three muscular layers, with the longitudinal muscle layer inserted between the inner and outer circular muscle layers, as in S. tiburo [74] and the topeshark, H.

japonica [63]; and (6) uniquely in *Mustelus schmitti* having three muscular tunics: inner reticularly-orientated muscle, middle and outer longitudinal muscles [69]. Interstitial spaces in the myometrium of some specimens may accommodate imbibed water that is correlated with reproductive events, as proposed in the southern eagle ray, *Myliobatis goodei* [40].

Histological variation of the vaginal mucosa of *F. signifer* is attributed to disparate cell organization and histochemical properties of cytoplasmic granules. Morphological transformation of transitional-like superficial cells is likely due to accumulation and secretion statuses, while transitional epithelial cells of the mammalian urinary system undergo cell transformation in response to the filling status of the excretory passage [84]. Negative PAS reactivity of diastase-pretreated vaginal tissues of *F. signifer* indicates no glycogen production, unlike mammalian vaginal epithelial cells that synthesize and store the glycogens [85, 86]. Moreover, metachromatic property of the vaginal secretory granules upon toluidine blue staining is reported for the first time in the Chondrichthyes. Chemical structure(s) and role(s) of these granules remain unknown, and further study is required to unfold their structural and functional properties, possibly adding other functions of the vagina besides simply serving as a conduit for passage of gametes and full term embryos. The corrugated surface of the vagina due to mucosal folds may provide anchoring surfaces for the male claspers during copulation, as suggested previously [17].

Although the females collected at different months exhibit histological and histochemical variation of the genital ducts, it remains uncertain whether this variation is relevant to the annual reproductive cycle because the small sample size was collected each time and sampling did not occur throughout the full annual cycle. Regardless of body weights, body sizes and the presence of vitellogenic or postovulatory follicles, the lighter ovaries of F1, F6 and F7 females than those of the F2, F3, F4, F5 and F8 females likely reflect their less ovarian activity, i.e., folliculogenesis and steroidogenesis. Further, it has been suggested that ovarian steroids, like estradiol and progesterone, promote genital duct functions and morphological changes [87–89]. This may account for less complexity of tissue organization, histochemical profiles and secretory activities of the genital ducts in F1, F6 and F7 females, as compared to those of the other females.

Conclusion

This article describes the microanatomy of female genital ducts of the freshwater dasyatid Fluvitrygon signifer, highlighting common and specific histological and histochemical characteristics. The anterior oviducts of mature specimens are actively secretory and have tall, branched mucosal folds, while those of immature/regenerating females form short, unbranched mucosal folds and show inactive secretion. The oviducal glands of F. signifer are inseparable into four histological zones, a typical feature of the Myliobatiformes. Immature/regenerating females have thin, inactive oviducal glands whereas the glands of mature females are constituted by actively secretory glandular tubules that are subdivided into three tubular patterns, based on chemical nature of secretory granules. The microanatomical structures of the uteri vary among specimens in terms of degrees of trophonematal and uterine vascularization, cell organization of trophonematal and uterine epithelia, and thickness of the uterine wall. The vaginal mucosa of immature/regenerating females contains fewer secretory granules, while those of mature specimens are loaded with the variously-sized granules, bearing different histochemical properties. Herein, comparative viewpoints on the microanatomy of the genital ducts among the Chondrichthyes have been made, thus contributing to better information on an evolutionary perspective of the chondricthyan reproduction. Moreover, the decline of F. signifer calls for development of protection plans for their wild populations. Basic knowledge on microanatomical structures of the female reproductive system of F. signifer in concert with other parameters, such as age, growth, reproductive cycle, reproductive endocrinology and reproductive behaviors, would be useful for formulating effective conservation strategies for this species.

Methods

Eight females of Fluvitrygon signifer were collected from the Chao Phraya river, Nakhon Sawan province, central Thailand in 1999 and 2000 (Table 1). The specimens were identified based on Compagno and Roberts (1982) [28]. All live animals were immediately transported to the laboratory. Their body weights were determined using a balance to the nearest 1 g. Disc lengths, disc widths and tail lengths were measured using a measuring tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. They were anesthetized using 6.5 mM tricaine methanesulfonate as described by Campbell and Davies (1963) [90]. The thoracic cavity was cut open to expose the heart. They were sacrificed via transcardial perfusion using Bouin's fixative through a polyethylene tube inserted into the conus arteriosus. The genital ducts (oviduct, oviducal gland, uterus and vagina) were collected and preserved in the same fixative for 24 h. They were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, cleared in xylene, infiltrated and embedded in Paraplast Plus[®], cut into 5 µm thick slices using a rotary microtome and stained with different histologic dyes: hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) for general histology, modified Movat's pentachrome for differentiation among connective tissues, muscles and carboxylated acid glycoproteins/ mucopolysaccharides, bromophenol blue for general proteins and toluidine blue for determining metachromasia of subcellular structures, alcian blue (AB) pH 1.0 and 2.5 for sulfated acid and carboxylated acid glycoproteins/mucopolysaccharides, respectively, periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) for neutral glycoproteins/mucopolysaccharides and hematoxylin for nuclear counterstaining (PAS-H), and PAS after pretreatment of tissue sections with 0.1% diastase (PAS-D) for differentiating glycogen from other PAS positive elements [91]. Histological slides were observed under a light microscope (Olympus BX51) and the photomicrographs were taken using a digital camera (Olympus DP70 Camera System). Sexual maturity was determined based on a combination of body morphometrics and ovarian histological examination, as previously described by Last et al. (2010), Last et al. (2016) [30, 31] and Somsap et al. (2019), Follesa et al., (2019) [34, 46], respectively (Table 1).

Abbreviations

AB: Alcian blue; Ad: Adventitia; BC: Basal cell; CC: Ciliated cell; CD: Central duct; Ci: Cilia; CM: Circular muscle; CN: Condensed nucleus; CO: Columnar cell; CP: Connective tissue papilla; Cr: Glandular crypt; CT: Connective tissue; DC: Desquamated cell; Ep: Epithelium; Ga: Ganglion cell; Gr: Granule; GT: Glandular tubule; H&E: Hematoxylin and eosin; Lc: Leukocyte; LM: Longitudinal muscle; LP: Lamina propria; MC: Mucous cell; MF: Mucosal fold; Mu: Mucosa; Mus: Muscularis; OS: Ovarian surface; PAS: Periodic acid-Schiff; PAS-H: Periodic acid-Schiff; PAS-H: Periodic acid-Schiff; SM: Smooth muscle; Tm: Trophonemata; UE: Uterine epithelium; Ut: Uterus; Va: Vagina; VE: Vaginal epithelium; *: Blood vessel

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Authors' contributions

NS1 performed the histological work and contributed to writing and revising the manuscript. NS2 conceived the major work, analyzed and interpreted the histological data and wrote the manuscript. KC conducted necropsies and contributed to revising the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand.

Availability of data and materials

The materials of *Fluvitrygon signifer* (embedded blocks, paraffin sections and stained histological slides) are stored at Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University. Raw data collected and all images taken are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The investigated animals are not a protected species and they were not collected in protected areas. The animal use protocol and ethic approval for non-laboratory animals were not required by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Kasetsart University at the time of the animal collection. All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Received: 30 October 2020 Accepted: 29 March 2021 Published online: 05 May 2021

References

- Hamlett WC, Koob TC. Female reproductive system. In: Hamlett WC, editor. Sharks, skates, and rays: the biology of elasmobranch fishes. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 1999. p. 398–443.
- Hoar WS. Reproduction. In: Hoar WS, Randall DJ, editors. Fish physiology. New York: Academic Press; 1969. p. 1–72.
- Wourm JP. Reproduction and development in chondrichthyan fishes. Am Zool. 1977;17(2):379–410. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/17.2.379.
- Callard IP, George JS, Koob TJ. Endocrine control of the female reproductive tract. In: Hamlett WC, editor. Reproductive biology and phylogeny of Chondrichthyes: sharks, batoids, and chimaeras. Enfield: Science Publishers; 2005. p. 283–300.

- Callard IP, Klosterman L, Callard GV. Reproductive physiology. In: Shuttleworth TJ, editor. Physiology of elasmobranch fishes. New York: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; 1988. p. 277–317.
- Alcock A. On utero-gestation in *Trygon bleekeri*. Ann Mag Nat His. 1892;9(54): 417–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939208677355.
- Dodd JM. Reproduction in cartilaginous fishes (Chondrichthyes). In: Hoar WS, Randall DJ, Donaldson EM, editors. Fish physiology. Orlando: Academic Press; 1983. p. 31–95.
- Pratt HL Jr. Reproduction in the blue shark *Prionace glauca*. Fish Bull. 1979; 77:445–70.
- Mahadevan G. Preliminary observations on the structure of the uterus and the placenta of a few Indian elasmobranchs. Proc Indian Acad Sci B. 1940; 11:1–44.
- Márquez-Farías JF, Lara-Mendoza RE. Notas sobre la morfología del aparato reproductor de la quimera, *Hydrolagus melanophasma* (Chondrichthyes, Holocephali), de la costa oeste de Baja California, México. Hidrobiológica. 2014;24:151–8.
- 11. Steven GA. Observations on the growth of the claspers and cloaca in *Raia clauata* Linnaeus. J Mar Biol Assoc UK. 2009;19:887–99.
- Storrie MT, Walker TI, Laurenson LJ, Hamlett WC. Microscopic organization of the sperm storage tubules in the oviducal gland of the female gummy shark (*Mustelus antarcticus*), with observations on sperm distribution and storage. J Morphol. 2008;269(11):1308–24. https://doi. org/10.1002/jmor.10646.
- Sunyem PS, Vooren CM. On cloacal gestation in angel sharks from southern Brazil. J Fish Biol. 1997;50(1):86–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1997. tb01341.x.
- Díaz-Andrade MC, Lopez-Cazorla A, Galíndez EJ. Histological remarks of the uterus of Sympterygia acuta (Garman, 1877) and Sympterygia bonapartii (Müller & Henle, 1841) (Chondrichthyes; Rajidae). Int J Morphol. 2013;31(3):864–72. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-950220130003 00014.
- Hamlett WC, Hysell MK. Uterine specializations in elasmobranchs. J Exp Zool. 1998;282(4-5):438–59. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(199811/12) 282:4/5<438::AID-JEZ4>3.0.CO;2-6.
- Walker TI. Reproduction in fisheries science. In: Hamlett WC, editor. Reproductive biology and phylogeny of Chondrichthyes: sharks, batoids, and chimaeras. New Hampshire: CRC Press; 2005. p. 81–127.
- Chatchavalvanich K, Visuttipat R. Gross and microscopic structures of the female reproductive system in the whip-tail stingray (*Dasystis bleekeri*). Thammasat Int J Sci Tech. 1997;2:47–55.
- Gilmore RG, Dodrill JW, Linley PA. Reproduction and embryonic development of the sand tiger shark, *Odontaspis taurus* (Rafinesque). Fish Bull. 1983;8:201–25.
- 19. Matthews LH. Reproduction in the basking shark, *Cetorhinus maximus* (gunner). Philos Trans Roy Soc B. 1950;234:247–316.
- Stanley HP. Urogenital morphology in the chimaeroid fish *Hydrolagus colliei* (lay and Bennett). J Morphol. 1963;112(2):99–127. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jmor.1051120202.
- Tanaka S, Shiobara Y, Hioki S, Abe H, Nishi G, Yano K, et al. The reproductive biology of the frilled shark, *Chlamydoselachus anguineus*, from Suruga bay, Japan. Jpn J Ichthyol. 1990;37:273–91.
- 22. Teshima K, Mizue K. Studies on sharks. I. Reproduction in the female sumitsuki shark *Carcharhinus dussumieri*. Mar Biol. 1972;14:222–31.
- Teshima K, Yoshimura H, Mizue K. Studies on the sharks. II on the reproduction of Japanese dogfish *Mustelus manazo* Bleeker. Bull Fac Fish, Nagasaki Univ. 1971;32:41–50.
- Thorson TB, Langhammer JK, Oetinger MI. Reproduction and development of the south American freshwater stingrays, *Potamotrygon circularis* and *P. motoro*. Environ Biol Fish. 1983;9(1):3–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00001055.
- Pratt HL Jr, Carrie JC. Elasmobranch courtship and mating behavior. In: Hamlett WC, editor. Reproductive biology and phylogeny of Chondrichthyes: sharks, batoids and chimaeras, vol. 2005. New Hampshire: CRC press; 2005. p. 129–64.
- Last PR, Naylor GJP, Manjaji-Matsumoto BM. A revised classification of the family Dasyatidae (Chondrichthyes: Myliobatiformes) based on new morphological and molecular insights. Zootaxa. 2016;4139(3):345–68. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4139.3.2.
- Compagno LJV. Fluvitrygon signifer. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T39411A104182090. https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T3 9411A104182090.en.

- Compagno LJV, Roberts TR. Freshwater stingrays (Dasyatidae) of Southeast Asia and New Guinea, with description of a new species of *Himantura* and reports of unidentified species. Environ Biol Fish. 1982;7(4):321–39. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF00005567.
- Iqbal M, Setiawan D. Ajiman, new data on the distribution of the endangered white-edge freshwater whipray *Fluvitrygon signifer* (Chondrichthyes: Dasyatidae). Ichthyol Explor Freshw. 2018;28:171–6.
- Last P, Naylor G, Séret B, White W, de Carvalho M, Stehmann M. Rays of the world. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing; 2016. https://doi.org/10.1071/9780643109148.
- Last PR, White WT, Caira JN. Dharmadi, Fahmi, Jensen K., Lim, APK, Manjaji-Matsumoto BM, Naylor GJP, Pogonoski JJ, Stevens JD, Yearsley GK. Sharks and rays of Borneo. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing; 2010.
- Musick JA, Ellis JK. Reproductive evolution of chondrichthyans. In: Hamlett WC, editor. Reproductive biology and phylogeny of Chondrichthyes: sharks, batoids and chimaeras. New Hampshire: CRC Press; 2005. p. 45–71.
- Wourms JP. Viviparity: the maternal-fetal relationship in fishes. Am Zool. 1981;21(2):473–515. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/21.2.473.
- Somsap N, Srakaew N, Chatchavalvanich K. Microanatomy of the female reproductive system of the viviparous freshwater whipray *Fluvitrygon signifer* (Elasmobranchii: Myliobatiformes: Dasyatidae). I The ovary Zool Anz. 2019; 280:52–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2019.04.002.
- Acero A, Grijalba-Bendeck M, Moreno F, Acevedo K, González E. Histología comparada del sistema reproductivo de cuatro especies de rayas caribeñas (Chondrichthyes: Batoidea). Rev Acad Colombiana Ciencias Exactas, Fís Nat. 2008;32:571–86.
- Babel JS. Reproduction, life history, and ecology of the round stingray, Urolophus halleri Cooper. Fish Bull. 1967;137:1–104.
- Hamlett WC, Knight DP, Jezior M, Kamm K, Mitchell K. Ultrastructure of the oviducal gland in the yellow spotted stingray, *Urolophus jamaicensis* with observations on a novel inclusion. J Anat. 1996;188:224–5.
- Hamlett WC, Knight DP, Koob TJ, Jezior M, Luong T, Rozycki T, et al. Survey of oviducal gland structure and function in elasmobranchs. J Exp Zool. 1998;282(4-5):399–420. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(199811/12) 282:4/5<399::AID-JEZ2>3.0.CO;2-6.
- Henderson AC, Reeve AJ, Ambu-Ali A. Microanatomy of the male and female reproductive tracts in the long-tailed butterfly ray *Gymnura poecilura*, an elasmobranch with unusual characteristics. J Fish Biol. 2014;84(2):297– 313. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12282.
- Colonello JH, Christiansen HE, Cousseau MB, Macchi GJ. Uterine dynamics of the southern eagle ray *Myliobatis goodei* (Chondrichthyes: Myliobatidae) from the Southwest Atlantic Ocean. Ital J Zool. 2013;80(2):187–94. https:// doi.org/10.1080/11250003.2012.742146.
- da Silva MI, de Oliveira MIB, da Costa OTF, Duncan WP. Morphology and morphometry of the ovaries and uteri of the Amazonian freshwater stingrays (Potamotrygonidae: Elasmobranchii). Anat Rec. 2017;300(2):265–76. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23501.
- del Mar P-ST, Arrieta-Prieto DM, Mejía-Falla PA. Reproductive system of females of the Magdalena river endemic stingray *Potamotrygon magdalenae*: anatomical and functional aspects. J Morphol. 2016;277:680–97.
- Hamlett WC, Wourms JP, Smith JW. Stingray placental analogues: structure of trophonemata in *Rhinoptera bonasus*. J Submicrosc Cytol. 1985;17(4):541–50.
- Hamlett WC, Musick JA, Eulitt AM, Jarrell RL, Kelly MA. Ultrastructure of uterine trophonemata, accommodation for uterolactation, and gas exchange in the southern stingray, *Dasyatis americana*. Can J Zool. 1996; 74(8):1417–30. https://doi.org/10.1139/z96-157.
- Lewis TC. The reproductive anatomy, seasonal cycles, and development of the Atlantic stingray, *Dasyatis sabina* (LeSueur) (Pisces, Dasyatidae), from the Northeastern Gulf of Mexico. In: Biological Science. Tallahasse: Florida State University; 1982. p. 410.
- Follesa MC, Agus B, Bellodi A, Cannas R, Capezzuto F, Casciaro L, et al. The MEDITS maturity scales as a useful tool for investigating the reproductive traits of key species in the Mediterranean Sea. Sci Mar. 2019;83S1 https://doi. org/10.3989/scimar.04965.15A.
- Hamlett WC, Hysell MK, Galvin J, Spieler RE. Reproductive accomodations for gestation in the Atlantic guitarfish, *Rhinobatos lentiginosus*, Rhinobatidae. J Elisha Metchell Sci Soc. 1998;114:199–208.
- Galíndez E, Estecondo S. Histological remarks of the oviduct and the oviducal gland of *Sympterygia acuta* Garman, 1877. Braz J Biol. 2008;68(2): 359–65. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842008000200017.
- 49. Hamlett WC, Fishelson L, Baranes A, Hysell CK, Sever DM. Ultrastructural analysis of sperm storage and morphology of the oviducal gland in the

Oman shark, *lago omanensis* (Triakidae). Mar Freshw Res. 2002;53(2):601–13. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF01080.

- Teshima K. Studies on the reproduction of Japanese smooth dogfishes, Mustelus manazo and M. griseus. J Shimonoseki Univ Fish. 1981;29:113–99.
- Rangel BS, Bruno CEM, Salmon T, Ciena AP, Miglino MA, Amorim AF, et al. Morphological analysis of the oviduct, oviducal gland and isthmus of the blue shark *Prionace glauca* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Elasmobranchii: Carcharhiniformes). Neotrop Ichthyol. 2005;13:663–72.
- McMillan DB. Oviducts and oviparity. In: McMillan DB, editor. Fish histology: female reproductive systems. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2007. p. 335– 90. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5715-1_5.
- Smith RM, Walker TI, Hamlett WC. Microscopic organisation of the oviducal gland of the holocephalan elephant fish, *Callorhynchus milii*. Mar Freshw Res. 2004;55(2):155–64. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF01078.
- 54. Borcea J. Recherches sur le système urogénital des elasmobranches. Arch Zool Exp Gén. 1906;4:199–484.
- Widakowich V. Über eine Verschlußvorrichtung im Eileiter von Squalus acanthias. Zool Anz. 1907;31:636–43.
- Hamlett WC, Knight DP, Pereira FTV, Steele J, Sever DM. Oviducal glands in chondrichthyans. In: Hamlett WC, editor. Reproductive biology and phylogeny of Chondrichthyes: sharks, batoids and chimaeras. New Hampshire: CRC Press; 2005. p. 301–35.
- 57. Marongiu MF, Porcu C, Bellodi A, Cannas R, Carbonara P, Cau A, et al. Abundance, distribution and reproduction of the data-deficient species (*Squalus blainville*) around Sardinia Island (central western Mediterranean Sea) as a contribution to its conservation. Mar Freshw Res. 2020;72(1):118. https://doi.org/10.1071/mf19372.
- Marongiu MF, Porcu C, Bellodi A, Cuccu D, Mulas A, Follesa MC. Oviducal gland microstructure of *Raja miraletus* and *Dipturus oxyrinchus* (Elasmobranchii, Rajidae). J Morphol. 2015;276(11):1392–403. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.20426.
- Filhol J, Garrault H. La sécretion de la prokératine et la formation de la capsule ovulaire chez les selaciens. Arch Anat Microsc Morphol Exp. 1938;34: 105–45.
- Hamlett WC, Hysell MK, Jezior M, Rozycki T, Brunette N, Turnilty K. Fundamental zonation in elasmobranch oviducal glands. In: Bernard S, Jean-Yves S, editors. Proceedings of the 5th indo-Pacific fish conference. Noumea: Société Française d'Ichtyologie; 1997. p. 271–80.
- Knight DP, Feng D, Stewart M. Structure and function of the selachian egg case. Biol Rev. 1996;71(1):81–111. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1996. tb00742.x.
- Prasad RR. The structure, phylogenetic significance, and function of the nidamental glands of some elasmobranchs of the Madras coast. Proc Nat Inst Sci India. 1945;11:282–302.
- 63. Chen CT, Mizue K. Studies on sharks. VI. Reproduction of *Galeorhinus japonicus*. Bull Fac Fish Nagasaki Univ. 1973;36:37–51.
- Porcu C, Marongiu MF, Follesa MC, Bellodi A, Mulas A, Pesci P, et al. Reproductive aspects of the velvet belly *Etmopterus spinax* (Chondrichthyes: Etmopteridae), from the central western Mediterranean Sea. Notes on gametogenesis and oviducal gland microstructure. Mediterr Mar Sci. 2014; 15:313–26.
- Galíndez E, Díaz-Andrade M, Avaca M, Estecondo S. Morphological study of the oviductal gland in the smallnose fanskate *Sympterygia bonapartii* (Müller and Henle, 1841) (Chondrichthyes, Rajidae). Braz J Biol. 2010;70(2):325–33. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842010005000002.
- Knight DP, Feng D, Stewart M, King E. Changes in macromolecular organization in collagen assemblies during secretion in the nidamental gland and formation of the egg capsule wall in the dogfish *Scyliorhinus canicula*. Philos Trans Roy Soc B. 1993;341:419–36.
- 67. Rusaouën M. The dogfish shell gland, a histochemical study. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol. 1976;23(3):267–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(76)90025-3.
- Elías FG. Histochemical study of the oviducal gland and analysis of the sperm storage tubules of *Mustelus schmitti* springer, 1939 (Chondrichthyes, Triakidae). Pesquisa Vet Bras. 2015;35(8):741–8. https://doi.org/10.1590/S01 00-736X2015000800006.
- Elías FG. Microscopic analysis of *Mustelus schmitti's* uteri during reproductive cycle. Annu Res Rev Biol. 2015;6(1):20–32. https://doi.org/10.9734/ARRB/2015/13940.
- Fishelson L, Baranes A. Observations on the Oman shark, *lago omanensis* (Triakidae), with emphasis on the morphological and cytological changes of the oviduct and yolk sac during gestation. J Morphol. 1998;236(3): 151–65. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4687(199806)236:3<151::AID-JMOR1>3.0.CO;2-6.

- Hamlett WC, Musick JA, Hysell CK, Sever DM. Uterine epithelial-sperm interaction, endometrial cycle and sperm storage in the terminal zone of the oviducal gland in the placental smoothhound, *Mustelus canis*. J Exp Zool. 2002;292(2):129–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1149.
- Storrie MT, Walker TI, Laurenson LJ, Hamlett WC. Gestational morphogenesis of the uterine epithelium of the gummy shark (*Mustelus antarcticus*). J Morphol. 2009;270(3):319–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.10693.
- Koob TJ, Hamlett WC. Microscopic structure of the gravid uterus in the little skate, *Raja erinacea*. J Exp Zool. 1998;282(4-5):421–37. https://doi.org/10.1 002/(SICI)1097-010X(199811/12)282:4/5<421::AID-JEZ3>3.0.CO;2-V.
- Schlernitzauer DA, Gilbert PW. Placentation and associated aspects of gestation in the bonnethead shark, *Sphyrna tiburo*. J Morphol. 1966;120(3): 219–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1051200302.
- Baranes A, Wendling J. The early stages of development in *Carcharhinus plumbeus*. J Fish Biol. 1981;18(2):159–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-864 9.1981.tb02811.x.
- Jollie WP, Jollie LG. Electron microscopic observations on accommodations to pregnancy in the uterus of the spiny dogfish, *Squalus acanthias*. J Ultrastruct Res. 1967;20(3-4):161–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-532 0(67)90279-1.
- Hamlett WC, Eulitt AM, Jarrell RL, Kelly MA. Uterogestation and placentation in elasmobranchs. J Exp Zool. 1993;266(5):347–67. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jez.1402660504.
- Alcock A. Observations on the gestation of some sharks and rays. J Asiatic Soc Bengal. 1891;59:6.
- Dzyuba V, Ninhaus-Silveira A, Kahanec M, Veríssimo-Silveira R, Rodina M, Holt WV, et al. Sperm motility in ocellate river stingrays: evidence for posttesticular sperm maturation and capacitation in Chondrichthyes. J Zool. 2018;307:9–16.
- Ranzi S. Le basi fisio-morfologiche dello sviluppo embrionale dei Selaci. Parti II e III Pubbl Stazione Zool Napoli. 1934;13:107.
- Galíndez EJ, Díaz Andrade MC, Moya AC, Estecondo S. Morphological changes in the pregnant uterus of the smooth hound dogfish *Mustelus* schmitti springer, 1939 (Gatuzo) (Condrichthyes, Triakidae): microscopic study and phylogenetic reproductive implications. Int J Morphol. 2010;28(4): 1003–10. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-95022010000400004.
- Wood-Mason J, Alcock A. Further observations on the gestation of Indian rays. Proc Roy Soc London. 1891;50:202–9.
- Castro JI, Wourms JP. Reproduction, placentation, and embryonic development of the Atlantic sharpnose shark, *Rhizoprionodon terraenovae*. J Morphol. 1993;218(3):257–80. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1052180304.
- Apodaca G. The uroepithelium: not just a passive barrier. Traffic. 2004;5(3): 117–28. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1600-0854.2003.00156.x.
- Ayre WB. The glycogen-estrogen relationship in the vaginal tract. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1951;11(1):103–10. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-11-1-103.
- Gregoire AT, Parakkal PF. Glycogen content in the vaginal tissue of normally cycling and estrogen and progesterone-treated rhesus monkeys. Biol Reprod. 1972;7(1):9–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolreprod/7.1.9.
- Callard IP, Fileti LA, Koob TJ. Ovarian steroid synthesis and the hormonal control of the elasmobranch reproductive tract. Environ Biol Fish. 1993;38(1-3):175–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00842914.
- Callard IP, Koob TJ. Endocrine regulation of the elasmobranch reproductive tract. J Exp Zool. 1993;266(5):368–77. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402660505.
- Koob TJ, Callard IP. Reproductive endocrinology of female elasmobranchs: lessons from the little skate (*Raja erinacea*) and spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*). J Exp Zool. 1999;284(5):557–74. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1 097-010X(19991001)284:5<557:AID-JEZ12>3.0.CO;2-P.
- Campbell GD, Davies DH. Effect of ethyl m-aminobenzoate (MS 222) on the elasmobranch electrocardiograph. Nature. 1963;198(4877):302. https://doi. org/10.1038/198302a0.
- Carson FL. Histotechnology: a self-assessment workbook. Hong Kong: ASCP Press; 1997.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

